

Bluehand Framework v1

Structured doctrine object, claims hierarchy, rights layers, ontology, and evaluation criteria for Research Library objects.

Publication series	Bluehand Research Library
Artifact type	Framework Reference
Authority class	public_projection
Publication status	active
Artifact ID	BH-RL-SRC-0001
Version	1.0.0
Publication date	2026-05-21
Canonical HTML	https://www.blue-hand.org/research/bluehand-framework-v1/
Canonical PDF	https://www.blue-hand.org/bluehand-framework-v1-2026.pdf
Primary route	/research/bluehand-framework-v1/
Domains	research object systems, ontology, rights layers, evaluation criteria
Keywords	structured doctrine, claims hierarchy, ontological taxonomy, rights layers, evaluation criteria, public doctrine

FRONT MATTER

Abstract

Bluehand Framework v1 is the strongest surfaced source for category-set thinking. It converts doctrine into structured entities, rights layers, claims hierarchy, ontology, and evaluation criteria.

Provenance

Source: operations/research-pdf-required-draft-pack.md. HTML route /research/bluehand-framework-v1/ remains the canonical indexed surface.

Compile receipt

Generated at 2026-06-13T02:11:50Z by scripts/pdf/generate_encodings.py (engine=reportlab; depth=published_reportlab_projection_v2).

Publication note

Portable PDF encoding of /research/bluehand-framework-v1/. HTML is canonical; this file supports sharing, review, archive, and stakeholder packets.

Purpose

The purpose of Bluehand Framework v1 is to prevent the Research Library from becoming a loose collection of briefs, essays, and projections. The framework gives those objects a shared orientation layer: how claims are organized, how rights are named, how categories are surfaced, how stakeholder review is routed, and how public boundaries are preserved.

Problem Addressed

Public research libraries often collapse doctrine into prose. That can make the work readable, but it weakens routing, evaluation, and machine discovery. Bluehand needs research objects that can be read by humans, indexed by machines, and evaluated by partners without flattening ethical, operational, or institutional context.

Core Contribution

Bluehand Framework v1 contributes four stabilizing structures:

- 1 Claims hierarchy: a way to distinguish public claims, uncertain claims, evidence needs, and do-not-infer boundaries.
- 2 Rights layers: language for stewardship, non-extraction, public-good constraints, and authority preservation.
- 3 Ontology and category seeds: reusable terms for routing objects across research, governance, grant, technical, and institutional contexts.
- 4 Evaluation criteria: a review posture that asks what an object proves, what it merely frames, and what must not be inferred.

Research Library Role

This framework is best understood as an orientation object. It supports other Research Objects by giving them common metadata discipline, stakeholder language, and constraint vocabulary.

It is not implementation proof. It does not establish a finished rights regime, legal framework, certification posture, or runtime enforcement layer.

Stakeholder Relevance

For grant writers, the framework clarifies how public research objects can be organized into fundable, reviewable, and bounded proposals.

For research partners, it shows how Bluehand separates doctrine, evidence, projection, and implementation maturity.

For technical reviewers, it explains why Bluehand treats object structure, category discipline, and public-boundary metadata as part of the research surface rather than as secondary documentation.

Do Not Infer

Do not infer legal counsel, compliance certification, a completed rights regime, or production runtime enforcement from this framework.

Do not treat this framework as the full Research Library schema.

Do not treat taxonomy as evidence. Taxonomy supports routing and interpretation; evidence requires lineage to specific artifacts, demonstrations, validations, or implementation surfaces.

Closing Note

Bluehand Framework v1 exists to make the public Research Library more coherent, more reviewable, and less prone to category drift. Its value is not that it finalizes the object system, but that it gives future objects a shared language for claim discipline, rights-aware framing, and stakeholder interpretation.

Source registry

Canonical registry object: research/registry.json (BH-RL-SRC-0001). Public discovery:
<https://www.blue-hand.org/research/registry.public.json>

Lineage

```
id=BH-RL-SRC-0001; source=operations/research-pdf-required-draft-pack.md;  
route=/research/bluehand-framework-v1/; engine=reportlab; generated_by=scripts/pdf/generate_encodings.py;  
pdf_depth_status=published_reportlab_projection_v2
```

Do not infer

- Do not infer legal counsel, compliance certification, or a finished rights regime.